

**Overall Score** 

66.8

★★★☆☆ Meets Expectations

**Overall Accountability Ratings** 

**Significantly Exceeds** 

Expectations Exceeds

**Expectations** 

**Expectations** 

**Expectations** 

**Fails to Meet** 

**Expectations** 

**Meets Few** 

Meets

FINAL - PUBLIC REPORT - FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card | 2016-17 | Summary

Priority Areas	School Max Score Score	9-12 9-12 State Max
Student Achievement	<b>63.6/100</b>	<b>60.2/100</b>
English Language Arts (ELA) Achievement	32.0/50	31.8/50
Mathematics Achievement	31.6/50	28.4/50
<b>School Growth</b>	NA/NA	NA/NA
English Language Arts (ELA) Growth	NA/NA	NA/NA
Mathematics Growth	NA/NA	NA/NA
<b>Closing Gaps</b>	<b>56.0/100</b>	<b>60.9/100</b>
English Language Arts (ELA) Achievement Gaps	23.1/50	16.6/25
Mathematics Achievement Gaps	32.9/50	16.7/25
Graduation Rate Gaps	NA/NA	27.6/50
On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness	<b>94.9/100</b>	<b>90.6/100</b>
Graduation Rate	94.9/100	90.6/100
Attendance Rate	NA/NA	NA/NA
3rd Grade English Language Arts (ELA) Achievement	NA/NA	NA/NA
8th Grade Mathematics Achievement	NA/NA	NA/NA

### **Student Engagement Indicators**

Absenteeism Rate (goal <13%)

Dropout Rate (goal <6%)

## **Total Deductions: 0**

Goal met: no deduction Goal met: no deduction

Wisconsin Student Assessment System Percent Proficient and Advanced Includes Forward Exam (grades 3-8), ACT (grade 11), and Dynamic Learning Maps (grades 3-8 and 11) State proficiency is for all tested grades: 3-8 and 11



^Note: Outlier score fluctuation is noted by ^ when any school or district report card has a 10-point or greater change (up or down) in both Overall Score and Growth Score. This amount of change may or may not be reflective of actual school/district performance. DPI encourages review of other priority area scores in the detailed report card for a better understanding of school performance. Details: <u>http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</u>

## Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Report cards for different types of schools or districts should not be directly compared.

# ★☆☆☆☆

Score

83-100 \*\*\*\*\*

73-82.9 ★★★★☆

63-72.9 ★★★☆☆

53-62.9 ★★☆☆☆

0-52.9

School Information	
Grades	9-12
School Type	High School
Enrollment	278
Percent Open Enrollment	6.1%
Race/Ethnicity	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.0%
Asian	0.4%
Black or African American	0.7%
Hispanic/Latino	0.4%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Island	ler 0.0%
White	96.4%
Two or More Races	2.2%
Student Groups	
Students with Disabilities	10.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	31.3%
Limited English Proficient	0.0%

Page 1 FINAL - PUBLIC REPORT - FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Lancaster High

PUBLIC CANSTRUCTION

Lancaster Community | Public - All Students School Report Card | 2016-17 | Notes

### **Rating Category Descriptions**

- Significantly Exceeds Expectations: School greatly exceeds state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Exceeds Expectations: School exceeds state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Meets Expectations: School is meeting some state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Meets Few Expectations: School is meeting few state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Fails to Meet Expectations: School is failing to meet state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.

### **Priority Areas**

- Student Achievement measures the level of knowledge and skills among students in the school, compared to state and national standards. It includes a composite of English language arts (ELA) and mathematics performance by the "all students" group in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) for all tested grades in the school.
- School Growth describes how much student knowledge of ELA and mathematics in the school changes from year to year. It uses a value-added score that compares the change in a student's scores to those of observationally similar students.
- Closing Gaps provides a measure that reflects the statewide goal of having all students improve, while narrowing the achievement and graduation gaps between groups of students. This measure acknowledges schools that raise the performance of traditionally lagging student groups, contributing to the closure of statewide gaps.
- On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness indicates the success of students in the school in achieving educational milestones that predict postsecondary success. It includes the graduation rate and the attendance rate as applicable to the school. It also includes measures of third-grade ELA and eighth-grade mathematics achievement as applicable to the school.

#### **Student Engagement Indicators**

Student Engagement Indicators are measures outside the four Priority Areas that affect student success or the soundness of the report card. Each indicator has a goal, and districts that fail to meet that goal receive a point deduction from their Overall Accountability Score. Goals were set by looking at statewide data and establishing thresholds that identify schools contributing the most to lowering Wisconsin's overall performance in the areas below. Note that Test Participation is no longer a Student Engagement Indicator, so there is no longer a deduction when this rate falls below 95 percent. Test participation among subgroups is still reported on the Student Engagement Indicators page for informational purposes only and is not used in any scoring on the 2016-17 report cards.

- Absenteeism Rate: This indicator describes the proportion of students in the district who attend school less than 84.1% of the time. If the absenteeism rate in the district is 13% or more, five points are deducted. The absenteeism rate is different from the attendance rate because it measures students who are absent from school a certain amount of time, not how often students are present in school.
- Dropout Rate: The goal for all schools is to have a dropout rate of less than 6%. A school not meeting the goal has five points deducted from its score. Note that dropout rate is not the opposite of graduation rate. A dropout rate includes any student who leaves school in grades 7-12 without expecting to earn a high school diploma, while a graduation rate counts students who earn a high school diploma within a certain time (four or six years) after starting ninth grade.

### About the Data

- The data presented in this report card are for public and state accountability purposes.
- Student performance on the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) is the foundation of report cards. WSAS data include Forward Exam, ACT and Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) in 2016-17 and 2015-16; Badger Exam, ACT and DLM in 2014-15; and Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD) in 2013-14 and prior years.
- Some supplemental data that are not used in accountability calculations are presented in this report card for informational purposes in order to provide context. Additional data on student performance are available here: <u>http://dpi.wi.gov/wisedash</u>.
- To protect student privacy, data for groups of fewer than 20 students are replaced by asterisks on public report cards.
- "NA" is used when data are Not Applicable. For example, a K-5 school that does not graduate students will have NA listed for graduation results.
- The calculations used in this report card are described in the Technical Guide and Interpretive Guide: <u>http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</u>
- State comparison scores shown on page one are shown for context only. They are not used to determine this school's score or rating.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



### Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Student Achievement

## **Student Achievement**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to indicate how the level of knowledge and skills for students in the school compares against state and national standards.

### What is being measured?

This measure is a composite of ELA and mathematics performance-level profiles for the "all students" group in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) for all tested grades. The score is based on how a school's students are distributed across the four WSAS performance levels, and it takes three years worth of test data into account.

### What can the report card data tell us?

Beyond a school-wide score for Student Achievement, the report card shows the distribution of students across the four WSAS performance levels for the most recent three years.

Readers can use these data to compare this school against the state average and to see if the data reveal any short-term trends. Schools can use this information to help develop overall achievement goals to guide improvement efforts.

These data are also broken out by groups of students. Readers can evaluate the impact of group performance on overall school performance. They can identify particular groups of students who are having trouble or doing well.

### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for subgroups, or both?

The Student Achievement score is based on the "all students" group, not student subgroups.



### Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Student Achievement

## **Student Achievement**

# Total Score: 63.6/100

## English Language Arts Achievement Score: 32.0/50

			2014-15			2015-16		2016-17					
Performance	Points	Stuc	lents		Stud	dents		Stud					
Level	Multiplier	Count	Count Percent		Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points			
Advanced	1.5	2	2.4%	3	3	4.2%	4.5	2	2.7%	3			
Proficient	1.0	42	49.4%	42	26	36.6%	26	24	32.0%	24			
Basic	0.5	31	36.5%	15.5	32	45.1%	16	32	42.7%	16			
Below Basic	0.0	10	11.8%	0	10	14.1%	0	17	22.7%	0			
Total Tested	-	85	100.0%	60.5	71	100.0%	46.5	75	100.0%	43			

### Mathematics Achievement Score: 31.6/50

			2014-15			2015-16		2016-17					
Performance	Points	Students		Students		dents		Stud	dents				
Level	Multiplier	Count Percent		Count Percent		Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	4	4.7%	6	7	9.9%	10.5	4	5.3%	6			
Proficient	1.0	36	41.9%	36	22	31.0%	22	24	32.0%	24			
Basic	0.5	27	31.4%	13.5	25	35.2%	12.5	33	44.0%	16.5			
Below Basic	0.0	19	22.1%	0	17	23.9%	0	14	18.7%	0			
Total Tested	-	86	100.0%	55.5	71	100.0%	45	75	100.0%	46.5			

#### Notes

- Details on student achievement calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- Student Achievement is based on Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) results for full academic year (FAY) students in all tested grades in the school.
- Scores are based on student performance on WSAS mathematics and English language arts statewide assessments.
- Points displayed in the tables above are weighted so that larger numbers of students and more recent years contribute more to the score for the Priority Area.



Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Student Achievement

# **Student Achievement**

# **Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the Student Achievement scores used in the accountability system.

		English Language Arts Supplemental Data													
		2	2014-1	5				2015-16	5				2016-17	7	
Group	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic
All Students: State	402,406	19.6%	33.4%	24.9%	22.1%	423,435	8.9%	34.4%	34.8%	21.9%	430,725	9.7%	34.7%	34.3%	21.2%
All Students: School	85	2.4%	49.4%	36.5%	11.8%	71	4.2%	36.6%	45.1%	14.1%	75	2.7%	32.0%	42.7%	22.7%
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Asian	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
White	82	2.4%	47.6%	37.8%	12.2%	70	4.3%	35.7%	45.7%	14.3%	73	2.7%	31.5%	42.5%	23.3%
Two or More Races	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	28	0.0%	39.3%	39.3%	21.4%	24	8.3%	29.2%	37.5%	25.0%	25	4.0%	28.0%	36.0%	32.0%
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*

### Mathematics Supplemental Data

		2	2014-15					2015-1					2016-1	7	
Group	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic
All Students: State	402,184	18.9%	26.1%	29.5%	25.5%	424,191	8.1%	33.8%	32.8%	25.2%	430,996	8.3%	33.9%	32.6%	25.2%
All Students: School	86	4.7%	41.9%	31.4%	22.1%	71	9.9%	31.0%	35.2%	23.9%	75	5.3%	32.0%	44.0%	18.7%
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Asian	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
White	82	3.7%	41.5%	31.7%	23.2%	70	8.6%	31.4%	35.7%	24.3%	73	5.5%	31.5%	45.2%	17.8%
Two or More Races	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	28	3.6%	28.6%	35.7%	32.1%	24	8.3%	25.0%	37.5%	29.2%	25	8.0%	28.0%	36.0%	28.0%
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



## Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | School Growth

# **School Growth**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to give schools a measure that summarizes how rapidly their students are gaining knowledge and skills from year to year. In contrast to Student Achievement, which is based on the levels of proficiency students have attained, School Growth focuses on the pace of improvement in students' performance.

### What is being measured?

This measure describes how much student knowledge of English language arts and mathematics in the school changes from year to year. It uses a value-added score that compares the change in a student's scores to those of observationally similar students.

### What can the data tell us?

Measuring growth is an important complement to student achievement when assessing school performance. How well students are learning is reflected both by their level of attainment and by their rate of improvement. In some cases, a school's performance in Student Achievement could be quite different than its performance in School Growth.

The report card also provides School Growth data for groups of students. Readers can determine the impact of groups' growth performance on overall school growth performance. They can identify particular groups of students that are having trouble improving or that are improving rapidly.

### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following page. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The School Growth score is based on the "all students" group, not student subgroups.

Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | School Growth

# **School Growth**

# Total Score: NA/NA

These growth scores are derived from a value-added model. A value-added model measures a student's performance on standardized assessments over a period of time. It then compares the change in the student's scores to those of observationally similar students. If the student grew more than predicted by these peers' performance, we say her school had high value added. That is, the value the school added to the student's growth was higher than predicted.

### English Language Arts Growth Score: NA/NA

### Mathematics Growth Score: NA/NA

	English La	nguage Arts	Mathematics				
Group	Count	Value-Added Score	Count	Value-Added Score			
All Students: School	NA	NA	NA	NA			

## School Growth Supplemental Data

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the Student Growth scores used in the accountability system. Supplemental value-added scores are calculated when 10 or more students are included in a group.

	English Lar	nguage Arts	Mathe	ematics
Group	Count	Value-Added Score	Count	Value-Added Score
All Students: State	NA	NA	NA	NA
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA
White	NA	NA	NA	NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Notes

• Details on school growth calculations can be found at <u>http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</u>.



## Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to provide a measure that reflects the statewide goal of having all students improve, while narrowing the achievement and graduation gaps between groups of students. This measure acknowledges schools that raise the performance of traditionally lagging student groups, contributing to the closure of statewide gaps.

#### What is being measured?

The growth in the proficiency rate of economically disadvantaged students, English language learners, and students with disabilities is compared against the growth in the state rate for each traditionally higher scoring comparison group. A supergroup is a group of 20 or more students that is comprised of at least two of the three target groups when those groups alone have fewer than 20 students. Supergroups allow more schools with small group sizes to be included in the accountability system. American Indian or Alaskan Native students, Asian students, Black or African American students, Hispanic/Latino students, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander students and two or more race students are compared to White students statewide.

School Target Group Point	s-Base	d Profic	iency F	Rates		State Comparison Group Po	ints-Ba	sed Pro	ficienc	y Rates		Rate of	Change	
	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	2016-17 Points		2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	2016-17 Points	School Target Grou	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
Group						Group						õ		
Example School Target Group	0.351	0.480	0.593	0.452	0.678	Example State Comparison Group	0.793	0.811	0.825	0.843	0.846	0.201	0.050	0.151

The above is an example of the type of tables that are shown for this school on the next page. Schools are awarded points for raising test scores and/or graduation rates of target groups.

The chart to the right demonstrates how groups are compared. There is a trend line for both groups, measuring the rate of change in points-based proficiency using an equal number of years of data.

If the target group's line (circles) is steeper than the comparison group's line, then the difference in rate of change (the rightmost column in the table) is larger. A large, positive difference in rate of change numbers indicates progress in closing gaps, resulting in a higher Closing Gaps score.



### What can the data tell us?

This Priority Area shows whether the school is succeeding in helping lagging groups catch up. Closing Gaps scores can help explain whether factors affecting improved teaching and learning are affecting all groups equally.

#### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The Closing Gaps score is based on student subgroups, not the "all students" group.

## Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



FINAL - PUBLIC REPORT - FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Lancaster High

Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

# Total Score: 56.0/100

### Closing Achievement Gaps - English Language Arts | Score: 23.1/50

School Target Group Points	-Based	l Profici	ency Ra	ates		State Comparison Group Points-Based Proficiency Rates						Rate of	Change	
Group	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	2016-17 Points	Group	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	2016-17 Points	School Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	0.667	0.589	0.604	0.520	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	0.710	0.877	0.769	0.785	-0.042	0.012	-0.054
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

## Closing Achievement Gaps - Mathematics | Score: 32.9/50

School Target Group Points	-Based	l Profici	ency Ra	ates		State Comparison Group Poi	nts-Ba	sed Pro	ficiency		Rate of	Change		
Group	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	2016-17 Points	Group	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	2016-17 Points	School Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	0.771	0.518	0.562	0.580	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	0.851	0.823	0.749	0.753	-0.050	-0.037	-0.013
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Notes

• Details on closing gaps calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

• See the notes on page 11 for further details on Closing Gaps.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Page 9



Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

# Total Score: 56.0/100

## Graduation Rate Gaps Score: NA/NA

Closing Graduation Gaps - Four Year | Score: NA/NA

School Target Group	o Gradu	ation R	lates			State Comparison Gro	oup Gra	aduatio	n Rates	S		Rate of	Change	
Group	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	2015-16 Graduation Rate	Group	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	2015-16 Graduation Rate	School Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

### Closing Graduation Gaps - Six Year | Score: NA/NA

School Target Group	o Gradu	ation R	ates			State Comparison Gro	oup Gra	aduatio	n Rates	;		Rate of	Change	_
Group	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	2015-16 Graduation Rate	Group		2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	2015-16 Graduation Rate	School Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Notes** See the notes on page 11 for further details on Closing Gaps.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Report cards for different types of schools or districts should not be directly compared.

Page **10** 



FINAL - PUBLIC REPORT - FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Lancaster High

Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

# Total Score: 56.0/100

#### Notes - Prior Three Pages

- Details on Closing Gaps calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- Closing Graduation Gaps is based on graduation data from prior years because 2016-17 data are not yet available. For graduation calculations, 2015-16 data is the most current.
- Points-based proficiency rate is calculated by multiplying the number of advanced students by 1.5, proficient students by 1.0, basic students by 0.5 and minimal performance students by 0.0.
- Count of students for achievement calculations can be found in the Achievement Priority Area of the Report Card.
- If the group's average points-based proficiency rate or graduation rate is greater than or equal to 0.9, the rate of change is adjusted to be equal to the highest rate of change observed for that group at any school in the state. This will be indicated on the report card by the symbol "!". This is to ensure that schools with very high achievement or graduation are not penalized with low Closing Gaps scores for small increases in gaps.
- In 2015-16 two new race/ethnicity categories were added: "Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander" and "Two or More Races".
  Closing Achievement Gaps results for these categories will be calculated as data become available.

• Beginning on 2016-17 report cards, each subgroup included in Closing Gaps calculations is compared to its statewide comparison group using the same number of years of data. For example, if three years of data are available to determine the trend for the students with disabilities subgroup, three years of data are included in the statewide comparison group trend for students without disabilities.

#### **About Supergroups**

Supergroups are a way to look at closing gaps among groups of students that would ordinarily be too small to include. A supergroup is made up of all the students that belong to any of the groups in the supergroup:

- "All 3" Supergroup: students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged and limited English proficient students.
- "SwD-ECD" Supergroup: students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged students.
- "SwD-LEP" Supergroup: students with disabilities and limited English proficient students.
- "ECD-LEP" Supergroup: economically disadvantaged and limited English proficient students.

A supergroup is used to evaluate Closing Gaps only when there are fewer than 20 students in each of the individual groups within the supergroup, but more than 20 students in the supergroup. For example, if a school had fewer than 20 students with disabilities and fewer than 20 economically disadvantaged students, but more than 20 students when those groups are combined, the "SwD-ECD" supergroup would be used to evaluate Closing Gaps. Students are not double-counted in a supergroup. In the example above, an economically disadvantaged student with a disability is only counted once in the supergroup.



Lancaster High Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

# **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to give schools an indication of how successfully students are achieving educational milestones that predict postsecondary readiness for college and career.

### What is being measured?

This Priority Area has two parts. The first part includes both graduation rate and attendance rate. The second includes third-grade English language arts achievement and eighth-grade mathematics achievement as applicable to the school. The scores for these two parts are added to produce the Priority Area score.

### What can the data tell us?

Graduation rates measure a key education milestone. Attendance rate represents an important prerequisite for student learning.

Third-grade English language arts ability is linked to high school performance, graduation, and college enrollment for Wisconsin students. Eighth-grade mathematics ability predicts success in high school mathematics. These are important metrics for schools to monitor.

In the future, other indicators may be incorporated into this Priority Area as we find better ways to measure whether students are on the right trajectory for college and career readiness.

#### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <u>http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</u>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness score is based on the "all students" group for Graduation, Third Grade English Language Arts Achievement, and Eighth Grade Mathematics Achievement, and based on the average of the "all students" group and the student subgroup with the lowest rate for Attendance.



Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

# **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

# Total Score: 94.9/100

#### 2015-16 Attendance Score: NA/NA

Group	Enrollment	Attended Days	Possible Days	Rate
All Students	307	49,045.0	52,652.0	93.1%
Lowest Group: Economically Disadvantaged	103	15,914.5	17,381.0	91.6%

#### 2015-16 Graduation Score: 94.9/100

	Four-Yea	r Cohort Graduati	on Rate	Six-Year Cohort Graduation Rate				
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate		
All Students	83	80	96.4%	74	69	93.2%		

#### **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness scores used in the accountability system.

	Four-Yea	ar Cohort Graduati	on Rate	Six-Year Cohort Graduation Rate				
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
Asian	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
Black or African American	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
Hispanic/Latino	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
White	79	76	96.2%	73	69	94.5%		
Two or More Races	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
Students with Disabilities	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		
Economically Disadvantaged	29	28	96.6%	<20	*	*		
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	*	<20	*	*		

#### Notes

• Details on On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations can be found at <u>http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</u>

• Due to data availability, Attendance and Graduation data are lagged by one year. For the 2016-17 report cards, On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations use these data from the 2015-16 school year.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

# **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

# Total Score: 94.9/100

### 2016-17 3rd Grade English Language Arts Achievement Score: NA/NA

			2014-15			2015-16		2016-17			
Performance	Performance Points Students			Students			Stud				
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Proficient	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Basic	0.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Below Basic	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Total Tested	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

### 2016-17 8th Grade Mathematics Achievement Score: NA/NA

			2014-15			2015-16		2016-17			
Performance	Points	Stud	dents		Students			Students			
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Proficient	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Basic	0.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Below Basic	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Total Tested	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

#### Notes

- Details on On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations can be found at http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards.
- Third Grade English Language Arts and 8th Grade Mathematics Scores are determined in the same way as for the Student Achievement Priority Area. However, if there are fewer than 20 students in the most recent year, then the most recent two years of data are combined so that the cell size requirement is met.
- Student achievement is based on Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) results for full academic year (FAY) students.
- Points displayed in the tables above are weighted so that larger numbers of students and more recent years contribute more to the score for the Priority Area.



Lancaster Community | Public - All Students

School Report Card Detail | 2016-17 | Student Engagement Indicators

## **Student Engagement Indicators**

# Goals Met: 2/2

Both one-year and three-year rates are considered for Absenteeism and Dropout rates. If either the one-year or three-year rate meets the goal then no points are deducted. The three-year rate is based on two years of data when three years are not available.

Indicator	Goal	One-Year School Rate	Three-Year School Rate	Points Deducted
Absenteeism Rate	Less than 13%	6.2%	4.2%	0
Dropout Rate	Less than 6%	0.0%	0.3%	0

### **Student Engagement Indicators Data**

Group performance for Absenteeism Rate and Dropout Rate is provided below for informational purposes only and is not used to determine whether these goals have been met. Test Participation data reflect the one-year test participation of groups. Note that there is no longer a score deduction associated with Test Participation on the 2016-17 Report Cards.

	ŀ	Absentee	ism Rate	2		Dropou	ut Rate		Test Participation Rate					
	One Year Three Year				One	One Year Three Year				(Not Scored)				
Group	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	ELA Students	ELA Rate	Mathematics Students	Mathematics Rate		
All Students: School	305	6.2%	903	4.2%	296	0.0%	888	0.3%	73	100.0%	73	100.0%		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		
Asian	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		
Black or African American	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		
Hispanic/Latino	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		
Two or More Races	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		
White	297	6.1%	881	4.2%	288	0.0%	868	0.3%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%		
Students with Disabilities	37	10.8%	103	6.8%	32	0.0%	94	0.0%	<20	*	<20	*		
Economically Disadvantaged	102	12.7%	289	8.3%	97	0.0%	280	0.7%	25	100.0%	25	100.0%		
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	<20	*		

#### Notes

• Details on Student Engagement Indicator calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

- All schools are expected to meet Student Engagement Indicator goals in these areas.
- Absenteeism Rate and Dropout Rate are not rounded.
- Absenteeism Rate is the percent of students who are chronically absent (absent at least 16% of the time).

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov